

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,423	12/10/1999	KAZUO HATA	2839-0072-0	9913
7	590 08/06/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY FOURTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
ARLINGTON	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		A9-20					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assista Comments	09/445,423	HATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	<u>June 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		• ,					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carrierer.						
<u> </u>	n priority under 35 LLS C	\$ 119(a) (d) or (9					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
· ·-	ts have been received						
<u> </u>	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  .					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

C!"

S

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed June 10, 2002.

Claims 1 and 5-8 are amended and claim 13 is added rendering claims 1-13 pending.

# Claim Rejections: 35 USC 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. In claims 1 and 5, the phrase 'baking the green sheet to be baked while the green sheet to be baked is sandwiched between the spacers' is incoherent and indefinite. Clarification is requested.
- b. In claim 8, the phrase 'the baking calcines the at least one of the spacers into a porous sheet' is incoherent and indefinite. Clarification is requested.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103(a)

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentalbe over Osaka et al. (U.S. 5,057,360).

5. Osaka discloses a ceramic composition comprising 100 parts by weight of at least one fine ceramic powder selected from the group consisting of zirconia having an average particle diameter in the range of 0.01 to 2 microns (abstract, lines 1-5) along with a green sheet with a fracture or crack (column 1, lines 26-27) and solid electrolyte fuel cells (column 3, lines 23-24). The reference discloses at least one species of fine ceramic powder consisting of zirconia having an average particle diameter in the range of 0.01 to 2 microns and the individual particles of the ceramic powder as the raw material have a homaxially spherical shape (column 3, lines 37-51) where the zirconia powder is mixed with yttrium (column 3, lines 60-64). Osaka discloses minute spherical zirconia having particle diameter whose standard deviation is in the range of 1 to 1.5 (column 5, lines 17-20) and a fixed gap and subsequently heating and drying continuously at a fixed temperature range of 40°C to 150°C to produce the ceramic green sheet (column 7, lines 62-65). The ceramic sheet is obtained by calcining the green sheet at a temperature in the range of 200°C to 500°C (column 8, lines 26-36) and by heating at the specified temperature the green sheet is sandwiched and baked within the ceramic material, which can be considered a sintering temperature because it heated the material without melting the material. Osaka discloses very small spherical particles of zirconia having an average diameter of 0.5 micron (column 9, lines 61-62) and an amount of warp in the range of 0.007mm to 0.023mm (column 14, lines 5-15) where the warp is analogous to a flaw. Osaka does not disclose the defects being detected based on an image obtained with a charge coupled device. An image obtained with a charged coupled device is an experimental procedure and is not considered to be Application/Control Number: 09/445,423

Art Unit: 1774

part to the claimed product, which is a ceramic sheet. Although Osaka does not specifically mention that the sheet has fewer than 5 defects, only the crack and warp is mentioned. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the ceramic green sheet as claimed because Osaka teaches only 2 defects. Although Osaka does not specifically disclose the spherical particles ratio, the spherical particle ratio is optimizable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the components because discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103(a)

- 6. Claims 1-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentalbe over Kazuo et al. (JP 8151270).
- 7. Kazuo discloses an average particle size of .1-0.5μm (abstract, line 6) along with a firing temperature placed on the green sheet and firing to give the ceramic sheet more than 400cm area, less than 0.4mm thickness and less than 0.1% warpage (abstract, lines 7-11). The firing of the sheets is considered to be baking at a sintered temperature because no melting of parts is observed. Figures 1-3 depict a sandwiching of the various parts of the invention. Kazuo discloses a ceramic sheet with 10% cracks or less (column 1, lines 1-19) where a ceramic sheet composed of zirconia (column 1, lines 20-21) and yttria (column 1, lines 22-25) where Kazuo uses the ceramic sheet for an electrolyte film for a battery (column 1, lines 26-27). The reference discloses the average diameter of the original material is 0.1-0.5 μm (column 1, lines 30-33) and a

ceramic sheet with a centered ceramic green sheet and centered porous sheet having a density of 30-85% (column 1, lines 36-49). Kazuo does not disclose the defects detected are less than five based on an image obtained with a charge coupled device. No more than 1 defect is mentioned. An image obtained with a charged coupled device is an experimental procedure and is not considered to be part to the claimed product, which is a ceramic sheet. Kazuo does not disclose spherical particles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to a design choice to make the particles spherical, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103(a)

- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentalbe over Kazuo et al. (JP 8151271).
- 9. Kazuo discloses a ceramic sheet obtained by placing the green sheet on or between porous sheets (abstract, lines 4-8) and firing the green sheet to the ceramic sheet (abstract, lines 9-11). The firing of the sheets is considered to be baking at a sintered temperature because no melting of parts is observed. Figures 1-3 depict a sandwiching of the various parts of the invention. The reference discloses a ceramic sheet having an area of more than 600 cm<sup>2</sup> and thickness of 1mm or less (column 1, lines 1-4) having a maximum warping of 100µm or less and 0.1% or less warpage

(column 1, lines (5-7). Kazuo discloses the main component consisting of zirconia and a second composition consisting of yttria (column 1, lines 8-11) with a particle size of 0.1-0.5μm and a particle size of 1μm or less (column 1, lines 19-25). Kazuo does not disclose spherical particles. It would have been obvious to one have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the particles spherical, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Kazuo discloses use for electrolyte film (column 1, lines 30-32) and a ceramic sheet with a centered ceramic green sheet and centered porous sheet having a density of 30-85% (column 1, lines 36-49). Kazuo does not disclose the defects being detected based on an image obtained with a charge coupled device. An image obtained with a charged coupled device is an experimental procedure and is not considered to be part to the claimed product, which is a ceramic sheet. Kazuo does not disclose a sintering temperature. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include sintering temperature range applicant is claiming because discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

'epit the ledy